Convergence of biannual moulting strategies across birds and mammals
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Birds and mammals have developed numerous strategies for replacing worn feathers and hair. Moulting usually occurs on an annual basis; however, moults that take place twice per year (biannual moults) also occur. Here, we review the forces driving the evolution of various moulting strategies, focusing on the special case of the complete biannual moulting as a convergence of selection pressures across birds and mammals. Current evidence suggests that harsh environmental conditions or seasonality (e.g. larger variation in temperatures) drive evolution of a biannual moulting. In turn, the biannual moulting can respond to secondary selection that results in phenotypic alteration such as colour changes for mate choice dynamics (sexual selection) or camouflage requirements (natural selection). We discuss the contributions of natural and sexual selection to the evolution of biannual moulting strategies in the contexts of energetics, niche selection, functionality and physiological mechanisms. Finally, we suggest that moulting strategies are directly related to species niche because environmental attributes drive the utility (e.g. thermoregulation, camouflage, social dynamics) of the hair or feathers. Functional efficiency of moulting may be undermined if the pace of evolution fails to match that of the changing climate. Thus, future research should seek to understand the plasticity of moulting duration and phenology, especially in the context of annual cycles.

1. Introduction

Hair and feathers are non-living keratinous structures that degrade through wear and breakage as they age. This reduced functionality can reduce individual fitness by compromising flight [1,2], thermoregulation [3] and mating abilities [4]. Because the structures are non-living, the only mechanism for damage repair is complete replacement through shedding (a protracted, year-round replacement) or moulting (a contracted, punctuated replacement) [5]. Though some species forgo migration and feeding events during the period when fur/feathers are replaced [6], no species has been documented to skip an entire moulting cycle, suggesting its importance to endotherm life cycles [7,8]. Despite this importance, moulting is one of the most poorly studied life-history events, particularly in mammals, but also in birds [9].

Birds and mammals exhibit a wide variety of moulting strategies [10,11]. Most can be simplified and divided into two categories: replacement of fur or feathers after 12 months (hereafter, annual moulting) and replacement of some or all fur or feathers twice per year (hereafter, incomplete or complete biannual moulting). By definition, the first moulting occurs after breeding and produces basic, non-breeding plumages (in birds, body and flight feathers replaced) or winter pelages (in mammals). The second moulting of the year is almost always incomplete [8,12], producing the alternate breeding plumage (in birds, body feathers replaced) or summer pelage (in mammals). In some species, however, all feathers or fur are replaced during a complete second moulting. In addition,
some species can slow or halt a moult [13] due to nutritional deficiency or migration timing constraints and continue later (hereafter, facultative split moult) or, in extreme cases, break the moult cycle (hereafter, partial moult) [14]. Still other species may replace fur during a protracted, year-round process (hereafter, biennial moult) [16,17]. Finally, some species exhibit a catastrophic or simultaneous moulting strategy where plumage or pelage function is temporarily compromised as feathers or fur are shed rapidly. The range of moulting strategies are subject to a wide range of selective forces (table 1); understanding the factors driving the variation in moulting strategies is important for predicting future impacts of global change.

Here, we review contributions of natural and sexual selection to the frequency and timing of bird and mammal moults in the context of energetics, ecological niches, functions and physiological mechanisms. For simplicity, we limit the scope of our review to sexually mature adults (i.e. no juvenile pluages).

2. Functional roles and forms of pelage and plumage

The evolution of feathers and fur has allowed endothermic vertebrates to inhabit both land and sea [56,57]. Plumages and pelages serve a variety of functions, such as providing thermal insulation by creating an air barrier between bare skin and surrounding ambient conditions [53], enhancing camouflage and/or mate attraction through coloration, providing mechanical protection and altering fluid flow to minimize drag in flying and swimming species [13,53,58]. In mammals, fur generally includes long, coarse guard hairs, and numerous fine, short underhairs [59]. Birds have a more diverse set of above-skin coverings including several types of feathers (flight, down, tail, contour, semiplume, bristle, filoplume) that vary widely in their function and form. For example, flight feathers that provide thrust (primaries) and lift (secondaries) are characterized by windproof surfaces of interlocking microstructures that allow birds to manoeuvre in the air. By contrast, down feathers have exceptional insulative properties that out-perform nearly all man-made materials.

Plumage and pelage morphologies of temperate/polar birds and mammals differ from those of tropical birds and mammals [13]. For example, tropical mammals rarely have fur longer than 20 mm [60], while arctic and high temperate mammals can have fur up to 70 mm, with relatively fine, abundant underhairs. Similarly, temperate and tropical birds have fewer down feathers and shorter contour feathers than those residing in polar areas [61]. While fur and feathers primarily provide insulation for animals in cool climates, they can also reflect solar radiation to reduce heat gain in hot climates. For instance, plumage reflectance is 65–69% higher for white plumage relative to black plumage and is thus beneficial for tropical birds nesting in open habitat [62]; however, white plumage may be less advantageous as wind speed increases, because white plumage limits convective cooling and thus retains a higher heat load [63]. Alternatively, white feathers and fur camouflage polar species such as snow petrels Pagodroma nivea and arctic foxes Vulpes lagopus in their snow-covered habitats.

3. Metabolic costs of moult

A biannual moult is expected when the energetic or fitness cost of producing a new pelage/plumage is less than the cost incurred by having suboptimal pelage/plumage coloration or insulation during different seasons. Although the sedentary nature of moulting animals minimizes transport costs [28], the moulting process (in combination, energy content of new tissue, production efficiency of new tissue, and compromised thermoregulation) incurs considerable costs above those required for basal maintenance. In small terrestrial mammals, pelage accounts for between 4% [24] and 15% [64] of total body mass. These pelage proportions exceed those of large mammals (1.7% fur in Weddell seals [65]; 3.4% in fur and skin of northern elephant seals [66]; 4–4.5% in muskoxen [67]), probably because the smaller mammals have larger surface area (i.e. fur) to body mass ratios [68]. The energetics of moulting mammals have been studied almost exclusively in phocid seals (family Phocidae) with most studies reporting minimal [24] or no [64] added metabolic cost aside from the reduced activity. To our knowledge, no estimates exist for the energetic efficiency of fur production in mammals.

Moulting energetics have been more extensively investigated in avian species. Plumages account for 4% [65] to 20% [66] of total body dry mass of birds. Less than 30% of energy used by moulting birds is thought to be incorporated into feathers [67]; the remaining energy is expended on the increases in thermoregulatory costs from the associated skin perfusion [68], increases in flight costs from reduced wing area [69] and production of tissues needed for feather synthesis [70]. It is difficult to disentangle the contributions of thermoregulation, protein deposition and efficiency to the cost of the moult; as a result, most researchers report the overall metabolic increase during the moult. Moulting costs vary by species and can be large [71,72], with metabolic rate increasing by 10% in red knots Calidris canutus [73], 12% in common eiders Somateria mollissima [28], 15–16% in blue jays Cyanocitta cristata and scrub jays Aphelocoma californica [74], 58% in white-crowned sparrows Zonotrichia leucophrys, and 82% in white-plumed honeyeaters Lichenostomus penicillatus [75] relative to non-moulting individuals [76]. The energy cost of feather synthesis increases proportionally with basal metabolic rate [76], such that small birds have higher mass-specific moult costs relative to large birds.

The highly variable moulting costs can be explained by interactions between moulting strategies, life histories and environmental conditions. Rapid moults tend to occur in animals that experience greater mortality or energetic costs due to reduced functionality of fur or feathers [8,10]. For instance, follicular growth requires perfusion to maintain skin temperature above a certain threshold [77], which could exacerbate heat loss during the moulting period in cold climates [78,79]. Because the duration of favourable seasons decreases at high latitudes (e.g. ‘seasons of stress, seasons of opportunity’ [80]), moults in polar resident and breeding birds tend to be shorter than in tropical birds [81,82]. By contrast, under less seasonal conditions (e.g. tropical regions), a more prolonged moult maximizes energetic efficiency because it avoids high daily costs of thermoregulation and fur growth [81]; as a result, tropical avian moults are usually slow [83]. We propose that the necessity of optimizing energetic expenditures coupled with the apparently high cost of moult provides a strong selection pressure for convergence of moulting durations within environmental niches.
Table 1. Descriptions and examples of main moulting strategies in birds and mammals. Strategies are colour coded to match figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hair/feather replacement strategy</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>environmental conditions</th>
<th>example mammal species</th>
<th>example bird species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>continuous shedding</td>
<td>Individuals replace fur or feathers during a protracted, year-round process.</td>
<td>Typical in animals that experience limited seasonality in resource availability or ambient conditions.</td>
<td>domestic dogs [15], sea otters [18]</td>
<td>mousebirds [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annual moult</td>
<td>Individuals replace pelage/plumage once per year. Typical in seasonally homogeneous areas.</td>
<td>Northern elephant seals [24], southern elephant seals [25], Hawaiian monk seals [26]</td>
<td>harbour seals [20], bent-winged bats [21]</td>
<td>bullfinches [22], lesser redpolls [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBSET: Catastrophic moult.</td>
<td>Individuals rapidly shed all pelage and plumage, such that pelage or plumage function is compromised, and feeding does not occur.</td>
<td>Typical of species that reside in aquatic environments such that insulative, waterproof, and hydrodynamic functions of pelage and plumage are crucial.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adelie and emperor penguins [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBSET: Simultaneous moult.</td>
<td>Individuals rapidly shed flight feathers, such that plumage function is compromised. Feeding does occur during this time.</td>
<td>The same as above.</td>
<td>To our knowledge, does not occur in mammals.</td>
<td>common eiders [28], lesser snow geese [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complete biannual moult</td>
<td>Individuals replace pelage/plumage twice per year, usually to meet camouflage and insulation requirements.</td>
<td>Typical of polar latitudes where conditions can be snowy and cold during the winter.</td>
<td>Arctic, mountain, and snowshoe hares [30], least, long-tailed, and short-tailed weasels [31], Peary caribou [32], collared lemmings [33], Siberian hamsters [34], ground squirrels [35], arctic foxes [36]</td>
<td>roe, willow, and white-tailed ptarmigan [37], willow warblers [38], black-chested prinias [39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incomplete biannual moult</td>
<td>Individuals grow thicker winter pelage or plumage and then shed into their thinner summer pelage or plumage during spring to allow heat exchange. Thus, the covering is a composite of retained and new fur/feathers.</td>
<td>Typical of temperate latitudes where it can be wet and cold in the winter but not snowy, and hot in the summer. Alternatively, species in high-latitude environments that do not rely on snow camouflage for survival.</td>
<td>ferrets [40], elk [41], mink [42], snow leopards [43], deer [44], moose [45], squirrels [46], white-footed mice [47], shrews [48]</td>
<td>grey-headed albatrosses [49], bared warblers [50], painted buntings [51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>split moult</td>
<td>Animals can stop the moult and continue the moult later.</td>
<td>Typical in areas where food supplies or weather conditions are unpredictable or periodic.</td>
<td>To our knowledge, does not occur in mammals.</td>
<td>barned warblers [52], common whitethroats [53], spectacled warblers [54] (see appendix 1 in Norman [55])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Selection pressures and moulting strategies

Birds and mammals that inhabit comparable environmental niches must solve similar social, thermal and energetic problems to survive and reproduce [84]. Because these selective pressures constrain moulting strategies, similar moulting strategies have evolved across avian and mammalian species where niches overlap [10]. For example, while an annual moult is usually sufficient to offset normal fur or feather degradation rates, biannual moults are particularly common in species of birds and mammals that occupy harsh habitats or use seasonal plumages for territory defence or mate attraction [11,13,85]. It is important to consider differing moulting strategies that may arise under ecological or social selection forces (figure 1) [86].

The highly ornamented breeding plumages of many avian species are well-known examples of sexual selection [87]. Many species (e.g. mandarin ducks Aix galericulata, Indian peacocks Pavo cristatus) have evolved colourful plumages because of female preference for more ornamented males [87]. The strong sexual selection for male birds to grow brightly coloured body feathers (i.e. alternate plumage) prior to the breeding season is usually sufficient to offset normal fur or feather degradation rates, biannual moults are particularly common in species of birds and mammals that occupy harsh habitats or use seasonal plumages for territory defence or mate attraction [11,13,85]. It is important to consider differing moulting strategies that may arise under ecological or social selection forces (figure 1) [86].

The highly ornamented breeding plumages of many avian species are well-known examples of sexual selection [87]. Many species (e.g. mandarin ducks Aix galericulata, Indian peacocks Pavo cristatus) have evolved colourful plumages because of female preference for more ornamented males [87]. The strong sexual selection for male birds to grow brightly coloured body feathers (i.e. alternate plumage) prior to the breeding season is usually facilitated by an incomplete second moult (i.e. biannual moult), which allows animals to return to a more cryptic plumage during the rest of the year [86]. Birds have tetrachromatic colour vision [88], which creates opportunities for heritable variations in plumage colour. Conversely, mammals generally have dichromatic vision with relatively poor colour sensitivity. Limited colour vision restricts the utility of colour in mating displays and thus minimizes sexual selective pressures for evolution of ornamental fur pigmentation in mammals [89]; here, the natural selective forces for crypsis dominate. As a result, coloration of most mammals is duller than many avian species and sexual dichromatism is nearly absent in mammals. Notable exceptions are primates and marsupials, which have retained trichromatic vision [90] and use bright colours (e.g. faces of mandrills Mandrillus sphinx, rumps of hamadryas baboons Papio hamadryas and chests of geladas Theropithecus gelada) for intraspecific communication. However, these colours result from structural components in the skin rather than replaceable fur [90] and thus are independent from the pelage moult [91].

At least in mammals, some species with no sexual selection on pelage colour still undergo two complete moults per year. Strong seasonality in temperatures, such as occur in arctic, alpine and temperate climates, require animals to either avoid temperature extremes through migration or to adapt to seasonal camouflage and insulation requirements. Thus, the selective forces of seasonal habitat transformations affect both migrants and residents in different ways, requiring increased insulation, increased camouflage or increased replacement due to degradation. We discuss each of these components below.

Many high-latitude species have evolved behavioural strategies to cope with the extreme cold, including hibernation in brown bears Ursus arctos [92], under-snow lairs in ruffed grouse Bonasa umbellus [93], ‘behavioural wintering’ in European badgers Meles meles [94] and under-snow social aggregations in red-backed voles Myodes gapperi [95]. In contrast, species that are active above the snow rely heavily on insulation of the pelage or plumage during winter [59]. These species often have a biannual moult wherein a more insulative winter pelage or plumage replaces that of

![Figure 1. Selective pressures (boxes) on moulting strategies (ovals), including the group of endotherms that typically exhibits each strategy. Note that catastrophic moult is an extreme case of the annual moult.](http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonality in resource availability/ambient conditions?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous shedding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonality in integument damage?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual moult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonality in insulation requirements?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete biannual moult—breeding integument</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonality in mate choice coloration requirements?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete biannual moult—insulative integument</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Complete biannual moult—white                           |     |    |

---

**Figure 1.** Selective pressures (boxes) on moulting strategies (ovals), including the group of endotherms that typically exhibits each strategy. Note that catastrophic moult is an extreme case of the annual moult.
summer. In mammals, underfur from the winter pelage can vary in density, length, diameter, colour and texture, and guard hairs can be finer and longer to increase their insulation [59]. These anatomical changes have been observed in many species such as ferrets Mustela putorius furo [40], elk Cerbus canadensis [41], mink Mustela vison [42], snow leopards Panthera uncia [43], white-tailed deer Odocoileus virginianus [44], mink Alces alces [45], grey squirrels Sciurus carolinensis [46], white-footed mice Peromyscus leucopus [47] and lesser white-toothed shrews Crocidura suaveolens [48]. Winter pelages can decrease the lower critical temperatures of red foxes Vulpes vulpes and porcupines Erethizon dorsatum by approximately 20° C [59]. For these high-latitude mammals, meeting insulation requirements does not require a colour change, so rather than a full second moult per year, these species typically grow a thicker pelage before the winter and then shed into their thinner summer pelage during spring to allow heat exchange. We consider this an incomplete moult because the summer shedding process is a partial loss of previous pelage (and occasional replacement of some fur) rather than growth of an entirely new pelage. Polar resident birds show a similar pattern of enhanced insulation in the basic (winter) plumage. During winter, non-migratory house sparrows Passer domesticus increase plumage weight by 70% [80], and goldfinches Carduelis carduelis increase plumage weight by up to 50% [96]. The purpose of the added winter pelage or plumage in these species is probably for thermoregulatory advantage rather than cryptic or breeding coloration.

When habitats are snow-covered, a combination of camouflage and thermoregulatory selection pressures has driven a biannual moult that facilitates an entirely white, thick winter pelage/plumage. Because summer pelage is usually brown, black or grey, these species typically facilitate their autumn and spring pelage changes by complete shedding of the previous pelage (i.e. complete biannual moult) rather than adding to the fur already grown. For example, to camouflage with seasonal snowfall in high latitude environments, rock, willow and white-tail ptarmigan Lagopus spp. alternate between pigmented, summer plumage and white, winter plumage [97] with longer winter feathers (42% longer contour feathers, 29% longer down feathers) than in summer [61]. Some terrestrial mammals such as Arctic, mountain and snow-shoe hares Lepus spp. [30], least, long-tailed and short-tailed weasels Mustela spp. [31], Peary caribou Rangifer tarandus parryi [98], collared lemmings Dicrostonyx groenlandicus [33], Siberian hamsters Phodopus sungorus [34], and arctic foxes V. lagopus [36] complete an analogous biannual moult to grow a more insulative white pelage (figure 2).

In addition to seasonal coloration and thermoregulation requirements, moulting strategies can also reflect the rate of degradation of features or fur. In temperate and tropical

Figure 2. (a) Rock ptarmigan Lagopus muta (photographs by Jared Hughey) and (b) snowshoe hares Lepus americanus (research photographs by Mills lab) both undergo complete biannual moults, shedding into a thicker, white plumage/pelage before winter and a thinner, dark plumage/pelage before summer. (Online version in colour.)
species, pelage or plumage degradation can result from abrasive vegetation, wind and sand [59]. Likewise, the plumages of birds in humid climates are subject to feather-degrading bacteria [99]. The melanin associated with darker feathers increases feather keratin thickness (abrasion resistance) and solar absorption (above optimal temperature for microbe growth); thus, darker feathers tend to be found in more humid environments, termed Gloger’s rule. In high-latitude species, exposure to UV radiation during summer and to extreme cold during winter degrades pelage/plumage [85] by denaturing keratin and other structural proteins [100]. The ambient conditions and food availability of high-latitude environments are inherently seasonal and thus provide strong selection pressures relative to tropical habitats that are relatively benign and homogeneous [101]. Thus, it is no surprise that the presence of the biannual moult can be explained more by environmental conditions than by phylogenetic relationships among birds and mammals.

5. Special considerations for aquatic species

Semi-aquatic animals have additional selection pressures from the increased thermal conductivity of water. When submerged, water replaces the insulating air layer between fur and reduces the thermal resistance of fur by 84–92% [102]. For diving animals like phocid seals (family Phocidae), water pressure at depth diminishes the utility of fur insulation; instead, phocid seals rely almost exclusively on blubber for insulation. These blubber stores enable phocid seals to exploit seasonally available prey and withstand lower ambient temperatures than would be possible if they relied on fur alone; consequently, phocids have a wide niche and inhabit both polar and non-polar environments (10 polar species, 8 non-polar species). In contrast, sea lions and fur seals (family Otariidae) rely heavily on pelage for insulation and inhabit almost exclusively temperate and tropical environments (1 polar species, 13 non-polar species), with the Antarctic fur seals Arctocephalus gazella having denser fur than other species. These aquatic mammals are not required to coordinate pelages with seasonal changes due to the seasonally homogeneous colour and temperature of their marine environments and thus only exhibit a single moult per year [10], with phocid seals moulting more rapidly than otariids. Sea otters Enhydra lutris, by contrast, replace fur continuously, probably due to their reliance on extremely thick pelage (up to 140 000 hairs cm⁻²) for aquatic thermoregulation.

Some pinniped and avian species undergo an extreme annual moult that involves a rapid, nearly simultaneous shedding of all pelage or plumage [24,27]. This is generally termed the ‘catastrophic moult’ although a consistent definition has not yet been established. Northern elephant seals Mirounga angustirostris, southern elephant seals Mirounga leonina, Hawaiian monk seals Neomonachus schauinslandi and penguins (order Sphenisciformes) are the only species described in the literature to moult this way [24,26,27]. In the pinniped literature, catastrophic moulting refers to a thick epidermal layer in conjunction with hair loss (i.e. peeling skin sheets attached to hair roots), in contrast to small flakes of skin as in some Weddell seals Leptonychotes weddellii [103] [24–26], and all catastrophic moulting species are known to fast during hair replacement. In the avian literature, the distinction between catastrophic and non-catastrophic moult seems to be the duration of moult, with penguins moulting all feathers in 13–34 days (relative to a couple months [104] or more [105] in ordinary moult) while fasting [27]. The regeneration of skin and fur requires elevated skin temperature and surface blood flow [77] so concurrent moulting and feeding would result in drastic thermoregulatory losses in the highly thermally conductive marine environment. Similarly, moulting impedes the insulative, waterproof and hydrodynamic functions of penguin plumage that are crucial for underwater foraging; as a result, these animals fast for the entire duration of the moult. Thus, across taxa, animals with catastrophic moults appear to meet two criteria: (1) they lose function of their pelage or plumage during the moult, and (2) they do not feed during the moult. To our knowledge, no terrestrial mammals undergo catastrophic moults.

Some birds, including common eiders S. mollissima (36 day moult [28]), lesser snow geese Chen caerulescens caerulescens (less than one month moult [29]), Hawaiian gallinules Gallinula galeata sandvicensis (21–54 day moult [106]) and grebes (order Podicipedidae, approximately 20 day moult [107]) undergo a quick simultaneous wing moult that renders them flightless; however, they do not fast during this moult, and the moult is not referred to as ‘catastrophic’ in the literature. The high energetic cost of the catastrophic and simultaneous moults [24] precludes a twice-per-year moult in these species; these strategies serve as interesting contrasts to the longer moults of many species in less thermally challenging environments.

Although hairless, at least four polar cetacean species undergo a similar catastrophic moult of their epidermis: killer whales Orcinus orca [108], southern right whales Eubalaena australis [109], belugas Delphinapterus leucas [110] and bowhead whales Balaena mysticetus [111]. All cetaceans experience selective pressures to deter ectoparasitic and commensal organisms (e.g. lice, barnacles, diatoms) from attaching to the skin [112] by continuously replacing their vascularized skin. For polar cetaceans, the extremely cold sea temperatures probably make prolonged skin perfusion energetically costly [108]. To avoid large heat loss associated with skin perfusion in cold water, these species migrate to warmer waters and replace/exfoliate their skin in a concentrated period [113]. In these cases, migration to moulting habitats can result in considerable metabolic costs.

6. Physiological mechanics of pelage and plumage replacement

Physiological drivers of avian and mammalian moults are generally similar, with age, sex, condition and reproductive status affecting the timing and duration of moult [20,114]. Internal factors (biological clocks, body condition) exert control via nervous and endocrine processes, and rely on external cues (zeitgebers, such as photoperiod and temperature cycles) for synchronization [115]. In combination, these mechanisms coordinate and sequence moult with other life history events, such as migration and reproduction, and align them with optimal environmental conditions [116].

A variety of hormones interact to regulate moult: thyroxine and progesterone promote hair and feather synthesis, whereas oestrogen and cortisone suppress it [20]. Corticosterone is downregulated during moult because it appears to...
negatively affect feather quality [117]. Thyroxine influences moult onset [73] and duration by increasing metabolic activity of feather forming cells in a permissive rather than causal manner [118]. The timing of peak prolactin is linked to (and slightly precedes) moult start date [66], and prolactin and thyroxine appear mechanistically linked [119,120]. Apart from species that exhibit moult-breeding overlap, moult initiation is inhibited by elevated levels of gonadal hormones such as oestrogen and testosterone. Consequently, sexually immature or reproductively unsuccessful individuals often initiate moult earlier than successful breeders, probably due to the reduction in levels of sex steroids. Moult timing is also influenced by body condition, which is driven by resource availability and reproductive output. Poor body condition, associated with increased cortisol levels, has been found to suppress thyroid hormones [121], causing slower and longer moult [117]. For instance, lower food abundance has been found to delay moult onset in harbour seals Phoca vitulina [20], while food abundance has been found to advance moult onset in swamp sparrows Melospiza georgiana [122]. Indeed, birds in superior body condition often advance moult timing and replace plumage more rapidly [123], possibly due to their lower circulating corticosterone. We note that endocrine control, which we have greatly simplified here, is not the only regulatory mechanism for moult. The roles of intrinsic and extrinsic factors for regulating moult phenology are topics of current research. See [124] and [116] for detailed reviews.

Synthesis and secretion of hormones that regulate moult are coordinated in part by seasonal cues that affect the pituitary gland primarily through melatonin signalling and hypothalamic control. Experimental manipulations of temperature and photoperiod have both been found to induce changes in winter pelage [59]. In snowshoe hares Lepus americanus, the winter moult was entirely suppressed when air temperature warmed by 7°C [30]. Conversely, cold exposure has delayed and shortened the spring moult in short-tailed weasels Mustela erminea [125], and accelerated the autumn moult of the white-footed mouse Peromyscus leucopus [47].

The species-specific reliance on photoperiod or temperature cues has evolved based on environment. For instance, photoperiod appears to be the critical driver of moult in high-latitude birds and mammals, while temperature and nutrition can modulate its timing. On the other hand, tropical residents and species that are subject to consistent annual daylength may rely heavily on non-photoperiodic cues such as temperature and rainfall [124]. Amphibious mammals such as pinnipeds apparently use a combination of cues for moult onset, including endogenous rhythms, changes in photoperiod, sea temperature, air temperature and body condition [126]. In turn, moult onset cues decide how species respond to global change; for example, migratory birds that depend on photoperiod cues for moult onset are expected to respond with less phenotypic plasticity than those cued by temperature [127].

7. Feedbacks between moult and global change

By changing the colour or insulation of pelage and plumage, the biannual moult can increase seasonal functionality; however, a biannual moult may be maladaptive under global change scenarios. If the pace of evolution fails to match that of climate warming [128], the functional efficiency of moult may be undermined. For instance, phenological mismatches between snow presence and snowshoe hare Lepus americanus pelage coloration could compromise crypsis and lead to elevated predation risk [129]. In ambush predators such as snow leopards Panthera uncia, similarly compromised crypsis could lead to diminished foraging success. Evidence for phenotypic plasticity to variable conditions has been found in mountain hares Lepus timidus, which tend to have slower spring mouls (white to brown pelage coloration) in colder springs [130] and faster winter mouls (brown to white) during colder falls [131]. Other studies have demonstrated that life histories can limit the flexibility of moult duration and phenology, and thus limit adaptive capacities. For example, long-distance migrants have advanced their phenologies less than short-distance migrants [127] because they have no information about phenology on the breeding grounds while in their wintering grounds [115]. Differential rates of phenological flexibility can lead to progressively mismatched seasonal timing between interacting species [115]. As a result, phenological plasticity can have population-level consequences under climate change.

8. Conclusion

In his seminal paper on mammalian moulting strategies in 1970, Ling [13] noted that ‘moult patterns … may be very different in closely related species…and very similar in widely separated taxonomic groups’. Here, we synthesize evidence that environmental conditions are important in determining the frequency of moult in birds and mammals. Because the functional roles of pelage and plumage are defined by environmental niches, moulting strategies across taxa converge as a function of environmental conditions [6]. In endotherms that inhabit higher latitudes, plumages and pelages play distinct seasonal roles [10] in camouflage (pelage colour polyphenism [129]), insulation and mate attraction. In birds, the biannual moult evolved from the ancestral state of a single summer moult [11,132,133] as a response to energetic and environmental selection factors. We suggest that the same could be true in mammals, giving the moult similar adaptive functions across avian and mammalian taxa. Comparative studies across taxa that share life-history characteristics provide insight into the wide range of functional roles that have caused strategies to emerge. Researchers should take care to document species-typical moult routines and place these routines within the framework of other critical life-history events and their environmental niches.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data.

Authors’ contributions. R.S.B., J.M.B. and G.A.B. conceived of the study; R.S.B. carried out the literature review; R.S.B., J.M.B. and G.A.B. wrote and revised the manuscript.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under grant no. DGE-1242799 to R.S.B. Any opinion, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Acknowledgements. Thank you to Ryan S. Terrill, Claire Nasr, Parker Forman and Amy Kirkham for reviewing previous drafts, and to Diane O’Brien, Brian Barnes and Ward Testa for helpful discussions.


106. Bridge E. 2006 Influences of morphology and
holmgren n, hedenstro¨m a. 1995 the scheduling of
barta z, mcnamara jm, houston ai, weber tp,
stout be, Cooke f. 2003 timing and location of
blanco g, frais o, garrido-fernández j, hornero-
rallid, the Hawaiian Moorhen.
J.M. 2009 Observations of molt in an endangered
Ornithol.
Mar.
moult strategies in migratory birds.
Phil.
Trans.
R.
Sci.
B 272, 1893 – 1900. (doi:10.1098/
rsb.2007.2136)
103. Green K, Burton HR, Watts DJ. 1995 Studies of the
weddel seal in the vestfold hills, east Antarctica.
Kingston, Australia: Australian Antarctic Division.
105. Burtt Jr EH, Ichida JM. 2004 Gloger's rule, feather-
phocid seal fur.
107. Durban J, Pitman R. 2012 Antarctic killer whales
migrations?
100. Blanco G, Frı´as O, Garrido-Ferna´ndez J, Hornero-
rallid, the Hawaiian Moorhen.
J.M. 2009 Observations of molt in an endangered
Ornithol.
Mar.
moult strategies in migratory birds.
Phil.
Trans.
R.
Sci.
B 272, 1893 – 1900. (doi:10.1098/
rsb.2007.2136)
103. Green K, Burton HR, Watts DJ. 1995 Studies of the
weddel seal in the vestfold hills, east Antarctica.
Kingston, Australia: Australian Antarctic Division.
105. Burtt Jr EH, Ichida JM. 2004 Gloger's rule, feather-
phocid seal fur.
107. Durban J, Pitman R. 2012 Antarctic killer whales
migrations?
100. Blanco G, Frı´as O, Garrido-Ferna´ndez J, Hornero-
rallid, the Hawaiian Moorhen.
J.M. 2009 Observations of molt in an endangered
Ornithol.
Mar.
moult strategies in migratory birds.
Phil.
Trans.
R.
Sci.
B 272, 1893 – 1900. (doi:10.1098/
rsb.2007.2136)
103. Green K, Burton HR, Watts DJ. 1995 Studies of the
weddel seal in the vestfold hills, east Antarctica.
Kingston, Australia: Australian Antarctic Division.
105. Burtt Jr EH, Ichida JM. 2004 Gloger's rule, feather-
phocid seal fur.
107. Durban J, Pitman R. 2012 Antarctic killer whales
migrations?
100. Blanco G, Frı´as O, Garrido-Ferna´ndez J, Hornero-
rallid, the Hawaiian Moorhen.
J.M. 2009 Observations of molt in an endangered
Ornithol.
Mar.
moult strategies in migratory birds.
Phil.
Trans.
R.
Sci.
B 272, 1893 – 1900. (doi:10.1098/
rsb.2007.2136)
103. Green K, Burton HR, Watts DJ. 1995 Studies of the
weddel seal in the vestfold hills, east Antarctica.
Kingston, Australia: Australian Antarctic Division.
105. Burtt Jr EH, Ichida JM. 2004 Gloger's rule, feather-
phocid seal fur.
107. Durban J, Pitman R. 2012 Antarctic killer whales
migrations?